

REMARKS

Applicant, though convinced that a truly patentable invention is presented as previously amended, regrets that he is unwilling to bear the additional costs of contesting the current rejections and is capitulating to the examiner's position.

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejections

Applicant cancels claims 1-6 and 9-30.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 8 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant would like to point out that, in response to the previous Office Action dated August 24, 2005, claims 7 and 8 were previously rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and should have been allowed as previously amended.

CONCLUSION

For all the above reasons, applicant believes that all the claims be admitted presented in this application are allowable over the prior art, and an expedited procedure for allowance and/or rejection of the claims of the application is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael R. McKenna
Registered Patent Attorney
Reg. No. 32,368

MICHAEL R. MCKENNA
500 West Madison Street
Suite 3800
Chicago, Illinois 60661
(312) 321-0123

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 3/16/06.

Date: 3/16/06 Signed: Ruth Zandberg